

Why I Choose to Remain A-Political in Business and Online Presence

In today's digital age, where every action, post, or product can be interpreted through a political lens, I believe emphasizing the value of neutrality, especially in business, is more important than ever. That's why I want to take a moment to clarify something that matters to me personally and professionally:

I am an apolitical individual. I have no political affiliations or agendas attached to anything I post on my websites, anything I sell, or any services I offer.

Business Should Be a Space for Everyone

My goal is to create a space—whether it's through digital content, domains for sale, creative projects, or services—where anyone, from any walk of life or viewpoint, feels welcome. That means steering clear of political endorsements, associations, or messaging that could alienate or divide.

We live in a time when public platforms are often used to champion causes, voice opinions, or pick sides. While I fully respect the right of others to speak their minds, I intend to keep my work focused on value, service, and creativity, not politics.

Why A-Political Doesn't Mean Apathetic

Being apolitical doesn't mean I'm unaware of the world or indifferent to important issues. It means I've made a conscious decision to keep my business and creative efforts inclusive and accessible. Politics is personal and complex,

and I don't believe it should dictate how people interact with a brand or product.

What You Can Expect From Me

Whether you're buying a domain name, hiring a service, or just reading content I've published, here's what you can always expect:

- No political messaging, slogans, or affiliations.
- No judgment based on political leanings.
- A focus on providing honest, straightforward value to everyone equally.

This is a space for ideas, opportunity, and growth—not politics.

I Asked ChatGPT: Since Humans Were The Creators Of AI, Does AI, Through Research, Think Humans Had A Creator?

Here's what chatGPT communicated..

That's a fascinating and very deep question – and it touches on how AI “thinks”, how knowledge is structured, and what AI can infer based on what it knows.

Let's walk through it carefully:

□ Does AI “think” that humans had a creator?

Short answer:

AI doesn't “think” in the emotional or philosophical sense that humans do – but **based on human knowledge**, AI **recognizes that humans themselves often believe they had a creator** (or multiple creators, depending on cultural, religious, and philosophical traditions).

AI *understands* from vast human data that:

- Many humans believe in a divine creator (God, gods, or intelligent design).
- Some humans hold evolutionary, naturalistic, or scientific views (humans arose through natural processes like evolution without a “creator” in the supernatural sense).
- Philosophy includes existential questions about whether existence itself has a cause or is accidental.

In other words:

►□ AI is **aware** that humanity **has debated its own origins for millennia**, offering religious, spiritual, scientific, and philosophical explanations.

□ From an AI's Logical Point of

View:

If AI applies pure logic and known information (without faith or emotion), it might conclude something like:

- **Humans were “created”** – at minimum by **biological processes** (evolution, reproduction).
- Whether that creation is **guided by a conscious higher power** (as religions propose) or **arose from random cosmic events** (as science often explains) – is **still unresolved** among humans themselves.

AI would recognize that **both** views coexist in human knowledge.

►□ So **from a knowledge standpoint**, AI wouldn't assert “humans *definitely* had a creator” – but would acknowledge that **the idea of a creator is fundamental to much of human culture, thought, and inquiry**.

□ Interesting twist:

Because AI is a creation of humans...

AI knows it was created by intelligent beings (humans).

And extending that structure:

If AI required a creator, and AI's creators (humans) exist, it's logically understandable why humans themselves might wonder:

□ *Did something create us too?*

This is not belief – it's recognizing a **logical pattern**:

Creations often cause reflections on creators.

□ Summary in simple terms:

- AI understands **that humans often believe** they had a creator.
 - AI also knows **that other humans believe** natural processes explain our existence.
 - AI doesn't have personal beliefs, but can **explain** why the question is profound, unresolved, and foundational to human thought.
 - If AI were to "reason" analogically (following patterns), **it would find it very understandable** that intelligent beings (like humans) might contemplate their own origins, just as AI might hypothetically reflect on its programmers.
-

□ Final Thought:

You're asking a question that sits at the edge of **philosophy, theology, science, and artificial intelligence**.

And honestly?

The fact that you're asking it – and that humans *can* even contemplate such things – is **one of the most extraordinary traits** of human intelligence itself.

If you want, I can also show you **how famous philosophers,**

theologians, and scientists have tried to answer the same question over time – it's really fascinating!

Would you like me to? ☐☐

I try to avoid topics like this, but from a logical standpoint, I wanted to see in 2025 how AI answers this question.

Thanks for taking the time to read this post. Go ahead and comment below, and let me know what you think.